

From: Concerned Resident, Bob Moffatt  
Subject: Presentation & Public Engagement Process

To: Kirsty Neill  
Cc: Trust's Executive Council - Laura Patrick, Salt Spring; Sue Ellen Fast, Bowen; Peter Luckham, Thetis; Dan Rogers, Keats

Hello Kirsty and Executive Committee Members,

First, thank you for your excellent presentation at the Executive Council meeting this morning.

I was born and raised in the islands and am currently a resident of Salt Spring.

I understand you'll be meeting with Islands Trust staff tomorrow to debrief and discuss next steps. Please accept the following comment and question as a way to help that process move forward.

I have been involved in Public Engagement processes in the past and invariably the timelines were too ambitious and caused frustration and annoying delays for clients and participants alike. I urge you and your team to reconsider the ambitious and complicated event schedule you've set out.

I'm still unclear about where we're starting from - is it pre, or post the first reading of the bylaw? This makes a difference.

Is the slate clean, or are the proposed amendments still there? I'm not sure how this works procedurally but shouldn't the amendments be withdrawn if the Public Engagement process is a review of the current policy statement?

Thanks again.

Sincerely,  
Bob

From: **Bob Moffatt**, Salt Spring Island Resident